

COMMUNICATIONS.

To the Editor of the Liberator:

DEAR SIR.—Another resolution of the meeting at Woonsocket, on which I propose to remark, is in the following words:—*Resolved*, That the Constitution, as originally formed, was the result of a compromise between the North and the South; and that while the large States in the South insisted upon the privilege of holding slaves, [that is, upon the ‘privilege’ of oppressing and brutalizing their fellow beings,] and thus increasing their delegation in the House of Representatives of the United States, they extend to the North the important right of an equal number in the Senate with them, a right which to Rhode Island and other small States, is of inestimable value.’

This Resolution, as a mere historical fact, I am not at all inclined to dispute; but then I shall deny the inference, which pro-slavery men are so fond of drawing, that the compact alleged, between the North and the South, is any reason why slavery should be either countenanced or tolerated. *Slavery is a sin*. It is a gross and flagrant violation of the laws of God and of the rights of man. No civil compact, therefore, can render it justifiable. ‘Though hand join in hand, the wicked shall not be unpunished.’ God, who is no respecter of persons, will not have such a respect to the ‘compromise’ between great and small States, as to consider slaveholders and men-stealers guiltless. If the States have combined for oppression, it is, in its own nature, an unholy combination; and God says, ‘Shall I not visit for these things, and shall not my soul be avenged on such a nation as this?’

But, the original ‘compromise’ between the North and the South imposes no serious obstacle whatever to the manumission of the slaves. If the large Southern States wish to retain their present number of Representatives in Congress, let them emancipate their slaves, and make them *men*. Let them raise what they now reckon as brutes and chattels to the rank of *citizens*, and then those citizens will be justly and constitutionally entitled to a representation in Congress. In this way, those States may increase, rather than diminish their number of representatives, and the spirit of the original compact remain inviolate.

The population of the six New-England States, in 1830, was 1,954,229; and their number of Representatives in Congress is 33. The population of Rhode Island, in 1830, was 97,210; and that small State enjoys a representation in Congress, of two Senators and two Representatives. This representation is, by the meeting, whose resolutions I am now considering, pronounced ‘a right of inestimable value.’ But, in the Southern States, there was, in 1830, a population of 2,004,263 souls, more than the aggregate population of all the New-England States,—enjoying *no representation*, except as goods and chattels, to increase the Representative power of their masters. Let these goods and chattels be raised to the rank of *men and citizens*, and they would be constitutionally entitled to 39 Representatives; which would increase *two-fifths*, the representative power of the South. The Southern States, then, have nothing to fear from a loss of representation, by manumitting their slaves and bestowing upon them the privilege of citizens; and the argument, so frequently used by pro-slavery men at the North, that the South will never consent to relinquish the original ‘compromise,’ by manumitting their slaves, is vain and futile. If the Southern States are not mad, and blind to their own interest, they will not fail to manumit their slaves, make them citizens, and thereby *increase* their representative power and influence; and it is not improbable, that some calculating politicians at the North have taken this into the account, and are crying out against abolition on the ground of political jealousy. But, every *disinterested* citizen, who has a true regard for the Constitution and the permanency of the ‘Union,’ will certainly deem it as important, that 2,004,263 souls at the South should have their Constitutional number of 39 Representatives, as that 97,210 souls in Rhode Island should have their two Representatives and two Senators, which is pronounced a ‘right of inestimable value.’

I am aware, Mr. Editor, that I shall be charged with ‘meddling with politics,’ and render myself obnoxious to another sweeping resolution, which I have yet to consider; but if politicians attack others, politicians must not think it strange if others repel them with their own weapons.

I ask, then, what is the difference between human rights at the *North*, and human rights at the *South*? What is the difference between the worth of souls at the *North*, and the worth of souls at the *South*? What is the difference between ‘a right of inestimable value’ in *Rhode-Island*, and ‘a right of inestimable value’ in *South Carolina*? By what ‘right’ of ‘compromise,’ constitution, or compact, either human or divine, are 97,210 souls in Rhode Island, allowed the privileges of freemen and Christians, and *entitled* to two Senators and two Representatives in Congress, while 2,004,263 souls at the South are reckoned as mere goods and chattels, and, as such, increase the power of representation in their oppressors, while they are denied every civil and religious blessing? By what strange moral principle of transmutation has our Declaration of Independence been made to thunder in the ears of Britons the ‘self-evident,’ and eternal ‘truths, that all men are created equal;’ that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights, among which are life, *liberty*, and the pursuit of happiness; and at the same time to sing in the ears of Southern tyrants, the sullen song, that two millions of mortal beings are not men, but brutes, goods and chattels, and may be lawfully bound with perpetual chains? By what principles of political economy or moral right, is this same Declaration deemed worthy to be set in letters of gold, translated into all languages, and sent to all nations, kindreds, tongues and people;’ while it would be death to an abolitionist to place it in the way of these 2,004,263 chattels, lest, forsooth, the chattels should begin to dream that they are *men*, and have been created equal with others, ‘whom God has made of one blood, for to dwell on all the face of the earth’?

I hope the ‘citizens of Woonsocket Falls and vicinity’ will have another meeting, and appoint a Committee to ‘consider and report resolutions,’ in answer to some of the foregoing questions; and that the Resolutions will be such as can be adopted with not even ‘two dissenting voices.’ I think, however, that they will have to ‘retire’ for more than a ‘few minutes,’ in order to frame such Resolutions as will satisfactorily answer the above questions, and at the same time accord with those which were adopted at the meeting to which I refer.

I fear, friend Garrison, that I have already become tedious; but, really, I find the doings of the meeting at Woonsocket to be more fruitful of comment and inquiry than I anticipated when I began; and I feel inclined to pursue the business a little longer. Be assured, however, that I shall take no sort of offence, if not even one of my communications is admitted into your columns; but ‘what I have written, I have

written,’ and I submit it entirely to your judgment as to their disposition. In the mean time, be pleased to accept assurances of my unabated friendship, and unceasing confidence in your philanthropy.

Moses Thacher.
North Wrentham, Sept. 1, 1835.

MR. GARRISON—I herewith send you a copy of an extract of a letter, taken from the Natchez Courier and Journal, written to a Mr. Andrew Marshall, of the state of Mississippi, by a person stated to be connected with one of the Boston presses. I send it to you because I think it a little remarkable, and know not to whom he alludes. We have heretofore thought it would be impossible to make slaves of *native-born, wholly white citizens* of the United States, and particularly of New England men; but when we hear of letters and newspapers taken from the mail, by any irresponsible committee, or post-masters (if there can be such by our present laws,) and so many are ready to submit to such proceedings without a murmur; although it may endanger every dollar transmitted by it, for a letter containing such a ‘root of all evil,’ might perhaps with many be considered *extremely inflammatory*, and ought to be stopped;—I say, Sir, when I see such accounts, and our executive officers sanction such proceedings, and when I learn from this writer, he ‘will soon get his feet on the necks of the rascals,’ meaning persons here at the north, it would lead one to suppose we are about coming under the yoke of slavery, and we are yet but a nation of slaves. But without further comment, I give you the extract, and will then ask, if there is not a spirit here at the north that needs enlightening? Whether civilization has yet proceeded among us to that height, that it can go no farther? The letter was dated

BOSTON, Aug. 7, 1835.

You had in Natchez a fellow named *Asa Stone*. He is an incendiary, and a *preacher of the Gospel*; look out for him, and if you catch him, apply Lynch’s law, &c. without delay.

I send you one of the rascal’s letters, published in the *Emancipator*. Do not let the fellow escape, if he is with you.

The virtue, intelligence and wealth of New England is with you; but for all this, you must be on your guard. Command me at all times, and if I can do Mississippi any good, tell me how I shall tell. We will soon get our feet upon the necks of the rascals, and once more restore peace to the country.’

One word to the person who wrote this letter. From what quarter have *incendiary* proceedings come? Who has taken papers and letters from the mail and burnt them, whether the persons to whom they were directed wished to have them or no? Who has raised mobs, and torn meeting-houses to pieces? Who has offered rewards for heads and ears? Who has sent, as reports go, assassins from one part of the country to the other, to waylay persons, who are probably as upright and virtuous as any in the land? Let him ask himself these questions, and then say, whether he had rather *toil* to transmit to posterity our country, with its population all free and independent, or whether the foul disgrace of slavery shall yet continue to hang at our skirts, to be a blot to be pointed at, and a by-word and hiss for all nations when they speak of our consistency? Let him search history through, and see whether a nation has ever proved themselves ungrateful to their benefactors. To go no farther back than our own times,—did the negro show fight in this State on the day of his liberation; or in New York; or in St. Domingo; or even in the W. I. Isles? In the two former places, the day was made a day of jubilee by them; and why may we not suppose they would make it so, if the day should ever come at the south? It is contrary to every experience, it should be otherwise.

One who does not, and never will, belong to a *Slavery Society*; and who does not yet belong to an Anti-Slavery one.

[L.]—We have omitted a portion of the foregoing communication, because the writer assumes that Anti-Slavery Societies are willing to assist in purchasing the slaves. This supposition is at total variance with their views and principles.—*Ed. Lib.*

MR. GARRISON.—If you think the following worth publishing in the Liberator, or any other anti-slavery paper or pamphlet, it is at your disposal.

HOW CHILDREN MAY PROMOTE THE CAUSE OF ABOLITION.—A little boy eight years old, who had read from time to time on the subject of slavery, after retiring to bed one night, said to his mother who was by, ‘It seems to me as if I could see a slave in heaven that has been emancipated through Mr. Birney’s efforts.’ On hearing the remark, she observed his countenance—his eyes were closed, and an expression of serious thoughtfulness marked his features. He was then told of the very interesting manner that Mr. Wright, the colored clergyman from New York, who preached in Boston, the week of the Anti-Slavery Convention, spoke of the different circumstances and reflections of Wilberforce and Voltaire, in the eternal world—the one surrounded by those who had been ruined by his infidelity—the other, by a host who had been redeemed from physical and spiritual thralldom by his ceaseless labors. After a little more conversation, he was told that he might pray instead of his mother.

He commenced without any hesitation, and after confessions and intercessions for himself and little brother, his mind seemed to expand itself with infant ardor, simplicity and energy, on the sufferings and necessities of the slave, and upon the efforts for his emancipation and instruction. He prayed for blessings, for time and eternity, on that good man who had freed his own slaves, and induced others to do the same; also that the paper he was about to establish in one of the slave states of this nation might be successful; and that every slaveholder in that State might very soon follow his example; and others still, until slavery should be entirely abolished in our land, and throughout the world; that even the very name of slavery should be unknown.

C. N.

PROVIDENCE, 9th mo. 12, 1835.

To the Editor of the Liberator:

Respected Friend.—As every thing which tends to encourage the hearts and strengthen the hands of those who are engaged in the righteous cause of breaking the chains of the oppressed, is worthy of being noticed and recorded, I would mention the following fact.

Jephtha White, a member of the Friends School at Providence, who resides, when at home, in Pasquotank County, North Carolina, has lately freed from slavery a mother and her four children. And besides this, he has provided means to defray their expenses to Indiana. Such praiseworthy conduct needs no comment. It speaks for itself. The tears of gratitude which flow down the cheeks of the mother and her little ones, are far more eloquent than words could be. Thy sincere friend,

WOOLMAN.

THE SECRET OUT! A son of Professor Stuart of Andover, is appointed Professor of a College in South Carolina. So say the papers. The public will now understand (without the aid of *Biblical exegesis*) how it came to pass that the *Bible* sanctioned slavery, in the view of Professor Stuart, while he dared not say that he approved of it himself.

Q. IN A CORNER.

DO SLAVEHOLDERS DESERVE TO HAVE THEIR THROATS CUT?

MR. EDITOR.—No abolitionist would ever think of bringing forward such a question in a public paper, were it not forced upon us by those, who, in the great moral contest that now agitates our country, take sides with the slaveholder, and oppose the abolition of slavery. The public press of Boston and New-York has charged the abolitionists with advocating the murderous doctrine, that *slaveholders deserve to have their throats cut*, and that *the slaves ought to be instructed to cut their masters’ throats*. Abolitionists never ask this question among themselves, in private or in public, believing it to be a question to be agitated and discussed only among those robbers and pirates who use and traffic in the bodies and souls of men as property. But as we are charged with holding this doctrine by slaveholders in the South, and their cringing, fawning apologists and abettors in the North, we wish to state our own views of this matter, and who they are, in fact, have, for years, been teaching this abhorrent doctrine to the slaves.

The question amounts to this: Do the slaveholders of the South—those who claim a right of property in the bodies and souls of men, and use and traffic in them as property—deserve death at the hand of their abused slaves? To this question, abolitionists answer, *No—No*. We have no fellowship with such a doctrine, nor with any one who holds or preaches it. We believe it is a doctrine of devils, fit only to stand in the creed of murdering tyrants, who glory in their power to trample in the dust all the dearest rights of man. Let those who uphold the infamous and heaven-defying system of American Slavery, point out one in our ranks, and convict him of holding and advocating such a sentiment, and we will expel him from our ranks, as a disgrace and curse to our holy cause, to find sympathy with mecenaries, kidnappers and pirates. Our glorious motto is—*No-resistance*. We distinctly say to slaveholders and their abettors—in this warfare we shall never appeal to physical force, either to procure freedom for the objects of your oppression, or to protect ourselves from your vengeance. Your mobs, your robberies, your burnings, your clubs and brickbats, your scourges, your gibbets and murders, will meet with no resistance but such as Christ, our great leader, offered to his murderers. *Father, forgive them, they know not what they do*. We can die in pleading the cause of our oppressed brethren—the cause of Christ; but we cannot, we will not fight, with carnal weapons. *We leave vengeance to God*. But we will not cease to thunder in the ear of the slaveholder and his abettors, their deep and damning guilt, and the doom that awaits them when the debased and murdered victims of their lust and avarice shall meet them before the tribunal of God. We will weep over our infatuated countrymen who sat on human tears and blood. We will pray for them—we will bear them on our hearts to the mercy seat of Christ, not that God would slay them, or hurt a hair of their heads, but that he would bring them to deep and godly sorrow for their sins, and make them to sit down, with the victims of their oppression, *clothed and in their right mind*, at the feet of Jesus. We say to sinful slaveholders—live, prosper, be happy—but not in your sins. No true-hearted abolitionist wishes to injure you, or would ever teach your slaves to injure you.

But this murderous and vindictive sentiment has been openly and publicly taught in this country for sixty years. Yes, Sir, the diabolical principle—that those who use and traffic in men as property, deserve to have their throats cut, and that the slaves have a right to cut their masters’ throats—has been taught and enforced for more than half a century. But who have taught this iniquitous doctrine? Every thing that has been said, written and done, to illustrate and enforce the right and duty of the oppressed to resist oppression and tyranny by an appeal to brute force, has taught the slave that his master deserves to die, and that he has a right to slay him.

The efforts made to arouse this nation to throw off the yoke of British oppression—what was their language? Listen to the soul-stirring eloquence of that period. The language of every public speaker and public press was—‘To arms! to arms! Down with the tyrants!’ The great doctrine, taught from one end of the land to the other, was, that *tyrants ought to die, and that it was the solemn duty of the oppressed to arise and slay their oppressors*. And what was the tyranny which our fathers were thus exhorted to resist and trample in the dust? Compared to that which the American slaveholder may and does exercise over his slaves, it was as the government of a just and wise father, compared to the iron-hearted despotism of Nero. Our Washingtons, our Adamses, our Hancocks, our Franklins, and our Washingtons, all said to the slaves, ‘It is your duty to burst your chains and be free, though your sword and your garments be dyed in the blood of your master.’ The sound went out from Fanueil Hall, yes, from that Hall where the worst of all tyranny was recently found a resting place, and echoed from Maine to Georgia! *Death to those who would make us slaves!*

Then comes the Declaration of Independence. And what does this say to the slave? ‘It is your right, it is your duty, to throw off the dominion of those who have reduced you under an *absolute despotism*, and made you *nonentities*.’ Then behold Lafayette, with his armed hosts, hastening to aid us in the work of butchering those who would deprive us of our liberty. And what does this say to the slave? That he has a right to invoke the aid of all nations in the destruction of those who would hold him in bondage. What was that seven years of strife and blood, but a solemn appeal to all slaves to arise and smite their daggers in the hearts of their oppressors? What mean those cannon—the ringing of those bells—and the eulogies of those orators, on the fourth of July? They all say—‘Immortal glory to those heroes who broke the bonds of our oppression, butchered our oppressors, and achieved our Independence. We are slaves no longer. Honor and glory to those who struck down the tyrants who would have held us in vile and hopeless bondage!’

Then comes the Declaration of Independence. And what does this say to the slave? ‘It is your right, it is your duty, to throw off the dominion of those who have reduced you under an *absolute despotism*, and made you *nonentities*.’ Then behold Lafayette, with his armed hosts, hastening to aid us in the work of butchering those who would deprive us of our liberty. And what does this say to the slave? That he has a right to invoke the aid of all nations in the destruction of those who would hold him in bondage. What was that seven years of strife and blood, but a solemn appeal to all slaves to arise and smite their daggers in the hearts of their oppressors? What mean those cannon—the ringing of those bells—and the eulogies of those orators, on the fourth of July? They all say—‘Immortal glory to those heroes who broke the bonds of our oppression, butchered our oppressors, and achieved our Independence. We are slaves no longer. Honor and glory to those who struck down the tyrants who would have held us in vile and hopeless bondage!’

The battle of New Orleans—what words of tremendous import it speaks to the abject and oppressed slave! See the poor slave, as he walks over the bloody field soon after the battle. He goes on the British dead. He wonders why they were slain. He asks—‘How came ye here?’ A voice answers—‘I slew them.’ They would have deprived me of my liberty—they would have made me a slave—and I poured out their blood like water.’ ‘Ah,’ says the slave, ‘is this the way to deal with our oppressors? Then I shall know what to do with my master.’

Look at our bloody attack on the States of Barbary, because a few hundreds of our citizens were there held in bonds as slaves! What an appeal to those in bonds among us! We would annihilate the nation that dared to hold a single citizen of ours in slavery; yet we hold millions of our fellow citizens in the most abject bondage—right here on the soil of their birth, and in the land where they should be free!

Talk not of abolitionists exciting the slaves of the South to rebellion. The whole history of our nation is nothing but one long and loud appeal to slaves throughout the world to arise and assert their freedom at the sword’s point. Slaveholders themselves are now teaching their slaves to burn, rob and murder, to get their freedom. What mean their robberies committed on the mail? What mean their burnings in effigy? What mean their scourging of unoffending citizens? What mean their reprisals for the blood of those who would save them from perdition? What mean their bloody murders? Do not they encourage the slave to rape and murder? All these encourage the slave to think he has a right to butcher his master to get his freedom.

But let our brethren in bonds be solemnly assured, that no abolitionist will ever assist them to gain their freedom by shedding the blood of their oppressor. We abhor that

bloody principle which leads men to resort to murder to defend or regain their liberty, as we abhor slavery itself. We appeal not to the poor slave, but to the master, who has the power to right his wrongs when he pleases, without bloodshed. To him we would speak plainly, but in love—Report of your sins of oppression and robbery—Turn ye, for why will ye die? In the name of God, we say to you—cease, now, to do evil, and learn to do well, and the Lord will be your shield and protection.

H. C. WRIGHT.

WHY DON’T YOU GO TO THE SOUTH?

ANSWER.—WE HAVE BEEN.

BROTHER GARRISON—I have just received a letter from some person unknown to me, post marked Washington, Georgia, Aug. 20, 1833.

The following is a copy of the letter *verbatim*:

The writer of this, through J. V. Himes, requests the editor of the *Emancipator* and its friends, to send him no more of its papers, and returns him a portion of the vile trash to him again.

No name nor date is given to the above. The vile trash returned was one quarter of a sheet of the *Liberator* of June 20, 1833. Over the top was written:—\$5000 reward for the editor of this paper (the *Liberator*) by the Legislature of Georgia, delivered even in Savannah. This looks a little like *state rights*, Mr. Editor. Suppose the Legislature of Massachusetts should offer \$5000 for some of the *Georgia Nullifiers*! Ah, that would alter the case. We should soon have an illustration of *state rights*. But what says old Massachusetts to the fact, that *one of her own citizens* has the price of \$5000 set upon his head, by one of her sister states? *Nothing!* Why? Because he is guilty of pleading the cause of suffering humanity? If he were engaged in any other cause, the Commonwealth would look into the matter without delay.

In the margin of the same paper, reference is made to a paragraph of Br. May’s, at the Anti-Slavery meeting at Concord, N. H. The article is as follows:—

He (Mr. May) related an anecdote of Mr. Birney, in illustration of the kind feelings with which the negro regards those who are laboring for his emancipation. It showed, as far as from cutting the masters’ throats, that they would serve

on the part of King Joe, of those amicable relations, which have hitherto subsisted between this Colony and King Joe.

That his delivery of the persons of the aggressors, and that alone, will be considered reparation.

In the event of failing to accomplish the above purposes, the declaration of the 4th Resolution be vigorously prosecuted.

In order to give energy and effect, to the deliberations of the Commissioners, and thereby prevent the effusion of human blood,

5. Resolved, That the Acting Agent be authorized to accept the voluntary services of one hundred men, to be armed, accoutred, and provisioned, and properly officered by the Acting Agent, to accompany the commissioners to Bassa.

6. Resolved, That Major J. C. Barbour, and John Day, Esq. from Monrovia, and John Hanson, Esq. from Edina, be the Commissioners.

On motion of John Day,

7. Resolved, That the Acting Agent be vested, and is hereby vested with authority to make any laws or regulations that he may deem needful, during the disturbed state of affairs at Edina; and any laws so made shall be of the same force and effect, as though made by the whole Council assembled.

PROCLAMATION.

COLONY OF LIBERIA.

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN.

KNOW YE

That I Nathaniel Brander, Acting Agent of the American Colonization Society, taking into consideration the disturbed and agitated state of the Colony, arising from the war, now raging at Edina, and to afford an opportunity for an undivided attention to the speedy termination of hostilities; and also with a view to meet the spirit of a resolution of the Board of Managers of the American Colonization Society, founded upon a petition from the Colony, 'That the election of civil officers shall take place on the second Tuesday in December, and that said officers shall hold their offices during twenty-four Calendar Months.'

Resolved, That the 4th article of the plan of civil government, for the Colony of Liberia, be so amended, as to read for 'two,' 'six' Commissioners; this amendment not to take effect until the next annual election in the colony; and that the other articles, be so altered as to correspond with this and other amendments, which may now be made, have postponed, and by virtue of the authority vested in me as Acting Agent of the A. C. Society, do postpone the election of civil officers for the Colony, until the second Tuesday in December, next ensuing; so that the officers that may be elected for the ensuing year, will enter upon the duties of their offices on the first day of January, 1836.

Date of Monrovia this Twenty Second day of July, Anno Domini 1835.

N. BRANDER.

GOD SAVE THE COMMONWEALTH.

ANOTHER SOCIETY.

In compliance with a notice published in the Christian Mirror, gentlemen from various sections of the State assembled in the City Hall at Portland, on Wednesday the 16th day of September, to consider the expediency of forming an Association for the benefit of the colored race.

William Ladd of Minot, was chosen Moderator; and Edward F. Cutler of Warren, Secretary pro. tempore.

The call for the Convention was then read by the Moderator, with some remarks defining the object of the meeting and the qualifications of members. After which the names of those, who desired to be considered members of the Convention, were called for; when it appeared that 74 gentlemen entitled to seats in the Convention, were present.

The divine blessing and direction was sought in prayer by Rev. Mr Weston of Cumberland.

A motion was then made, That this Convention deem it expedient to form a society in this State for the benefit of the colored race. Which, after remarks by several members of the Convention, was postponed for further consideration.

The Committee accordingly reported the following resolutions, which, after a free discussion, were adopted.

Resolved, That this Convention respond to the sentiment recently expressed by a large meeting in the city, also by similar meetings in other places, that 'slavery is a moral and political evil,' and that the right to discuss this or any other subject is a constitutional right common to all the citizens of the United States.

Resolved, That we recognize the obligation of our Saviour's universal law of love, which requires us to do others, as we would that others should do unto us; and that masters equally with slaves, are entitled to the benefit of the law in all movements relating to emancipation.

Resolved, That we utterly disclaim all right to interfere with the legal relation of master and slave, in any way, except by the exercise of kind moral influence, and in obedience to that precept of our religion, which requires us to do good to all men, as we have opportunity.

Resolved, That the elevation of people of color in intelligence and moral worth, would have a most auspicious influence on emancipation; and that it is the duty of the community to adopt efficient measures to reform and elevate the colored race.

Resolved, That we will hold ourselves in readiness to co-operate with our Southern brethren in sustaining missions and teachers, selected by themselves, to preach the gospel to their slaves.

Resolved, That this Convention tender their sympathies, prayers and efforts to any Society, formed for the instruction of slaves.

Resolved, That, while the eternal principles of righteousness are never to be compromised, an angry, supercilious, or censorious manner of enforcing them, is an obstacle to their admission by those who need correction, and prevents their desired practical influence.

Resolved, That the recent unnatural excitement alleged to have grown out of the discussion of slavery, and the consequent deeds of violence and blood, the frequency of mobs, and the unwarrantable interference with the use of the U. States mail, to which all our citizens are alike entitled by law, are greatly to be deplored and disapproved; and it is obligatory on all good citizens, by kind and exemplary language and spirit, to do all they can to allay excitement.

The question in respect to the expediency of forming a Society was called up, and after a protracted discussion, it was voted that it is expedient to form an Association for the improvement of the colored race.

The Committee of nomination reported a list of Officers, who were chosen, as follows.

William Ladd, *President*. Charles Freeman, Alford Richardson, Bailey Pierce, Jacob McGaw, *Vice Presidents*. Benj. Tappan, Augusta, Cor. Sec'y. William Cutler, Portland, *Rec'd Sec'y*. Erastus Hayes, Portland, *Treasurer*. Jos. Vail, Portland, J. W. Ellington, Bath, Benj. Tappan, Augusta, J. W. Chickering, Portland, Woodbury Storer, Dr. James Crosby, Bangor, William Cutler, Portland, *Ecc. Committee*.

CONSTITUTION.—Deeply affected with the situation of the colored race, and desirous of elevating their character and meliorating their condition, we do hereby form ourselves into an association, and adopt the following constitution:

Art. II. The object of this society shall be the improvement of the colored people in knowledge and piety, and the final extinction of slavery, as soon as it can be done with the free will and consent of the slaveholder.

The following declaration contains a summary exposition of the views of the society on the subject of slavery:

1. We disclaim any interference with any association formed professedly for the benefit of the colored race, acknowledging the justice and humanity of their intentions, and wishing them "God speed," so far as they follow the dictates of a pure and enlightened Christianity, and no farther.

2. We consider the doctrine, that man has a moral right to hold man as an article of property, inconsistent with the spirit of the gospel, and that slavery ought, in every case, to cease as soon as it can, consistently with the benefit of the slave and the safety of the master.

3. We think that all the friends of our country ought to take a deep interest in the free people of color, and endeavor to alleviate their sufferings, to elevate their character, and to prepare them for all the rights and privileges of citizens and Christians, and that the same offices of benevolence should be

shown to the enslaved, as far as can be done with the consent of their masters.

4. We think that all endeavors for the abolition of slavery, should be directed solely to the master; and while—speaking the truth in love, and waiving all motives addressed to his temporal fear—we would endeavor to draw him by the bands of affection, we should also do all in our power to alleviate the evils which are likely to flow from slavery, both to the master and the slave.

5. Resolved, That the Acting Agent be authorized to accept the voluntary services of one hundred men, to be armed, accoutred, and provisioned, and properly officered by the Acting Agent, to accompany the commissioners to Bassa.

6. Resolved, That Major J. C. Barbour, and John Day, Esq. from Monrovia, and John Hanson, Esq. from Edina, be the Commissioners.

On motion of John Day,

7. Resolved, That the Acting Agent be vested, and is hereby vested with authority to make any laws or regulations that he may deem needful, during the disturbed state of affairs at Edina; and any laws so made shall be of the same force and effect, as though made by the whole Council assembled.

PROCLAMATION.

COLONY OF LIBERIA.

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN.

KNOW YE

That I Nathaniel Brander, Acting Agent of the American Colonization Society, taking into consideration the disturbed and agitated state of the Colony, arising from the war, now raging at Edina, and to afford an opportunity for an undivided attention to the speedy termination of hostilities; and also with a view to meet the spirit of a resolution of the Board of Managers of the American Colonization Society, founded upon a petition from the Colony, 'That the election of civil officers shall take place on the second Tuesday in December, and that said officers shall hold their offices during twenty-four Calendar Months.'

Resolved, That the 4th article of the plan of civil government, for the Colony of Liberia, be so amended, as to read for 'two,' 'six' Commissioners; this amendment not to take effect until the next annual election in the colony; and that the other articles, be so altered as to correspond with this and other amendments, which may now be made, have postponed, and by virtue of the authority vested in me as Acting Agent of the A. C. Society, do postpone the election of civil officers for the Colony, until the second Tuesday in December, next ensuing; so that the officers that may be elected for the ensuing year, will enter upon the duties of their offices on the first day of January, 1836.

Date of Monrovia this Twenty Second day of July, Anno Domini 1835.

N. BRANDER.

GOD SAVE THE COMMONWEALTH.

ANOTHER SOCIETY.

In compliance with a notice published in the Christian Mirror, gentlemen from various sections of the State assembled in the City Hall at Portland, on Wednesday the 16th day of September, to consider the expediency of forming an Association for the benefit of the colored race.

William Ladd of Minot, was chosen Moderator; and Edward F. Cutler of Warren, Secretary pro. tempore.

The call for the Convention was then read by the Moderator, with some remarks defining the object of the meeting and the qualifications of members. After which the names of those, who desired to be considered members of the Convention, were called for; when it appeared that 74 gentlemen entitled to seats in the Convention, were present.

Resolved, 3. That we believe the slaveholding states, as they regard their duty to the great Ruler of nations and avenger of wrongs, as they regard their safety, prosperity and happiness, as a people, ought to lose no time in giving freedom to their slaves; for they only have the constitutional power and right of enacting their liberty.

Resolved, 4. That while we hold it to be a Christian duty to persuade those everywhere who hold their fellow men in slavery to abandon the sin; it is also our duty to teach those who are thus deprived of their rights to exercise forbearance and yield a ready obedience to their masters, until in the Providence of God their liberty can be peacefully effected.

Resolved, 5. That we feel a tender sympathy for our brethren in the south who abhor slavery and feel themselves pressed with difficulties in effecting the emancipation of their slaves—they shall share in our fervent supplications that God would give them wisdom to discern, and grace fearlessly to set forth the path of duty.

Resolved, 6. That light and love, free remonstrance and fervent supplications to God, are the weapons of our warfare against slavery. We believe it is our duty persistently to use such weapons while there is a vestige of it left—and these we believe are mighty, through God, to the demolishing of its last strong hold. We do therefore earnestly recommend to the churches to treat this subject in a manner that shall convince men that in all their measures they are prompted by pure benevolence—a regard for the best interests of masters and slaves, and that their reliance is upon him who heareth prayer—and who regards the rights of the poor.

We also recommend the observance of the monthly concert of prayer for colored people on the last Monday in every month.

The following resolutions, also on the subject of Moral Reform, were passed unanimously at the same session of Synod with directions to the stated clerk to forward them to the editors of the Observer and Evangelist for publication.

The Synod having heard statements made by the Rev. J. R. McDowell of the extensive prevalence in our country, of the sin of lewdness and of the means by which it is promoted and sustained, deeply feel the necessity of taking measures to check this crime which is one of the greatest hindrances to the gospel of Christ and a fruitful source of misery, vice, disease and perdition.

Resolved, That we feel a tender sympathy for our brethren in the south who abhor slavery and feel themselves pressed with difficulties in effecting the emancipation of their slaves—they shall share in our fervent supplications that God would give them wisdom to discern, and grace fearlessly to do all that could be done to stimulate their masters to a bloody revolt.

The resolutions were ably sustained by Mr. Thompson, who took the occasion to repel the murderous charge lately brought against him in the N. Y. Commercial, namely, that the slaves ought to be taught to cut their masters' throats. He declared that he was for peace—forgiveness—at all times and under all provocations, as a duly incumbent upon every follower of Christ.

Mr. Garrison expressed his fears, that there would be an insurrection among the slaves, if their infatuated masters did not cease to promulgate the falsehood, that abolitionists were ready to assist them in a physical struggle for freedom; and he thought the slaves ought to be warned not to believe their masters in this matter. He exposed the absurdity of the charges brought against the friends of emancipation, and showed that the slaveholders were doing all that could be done to stimulate their victims to a bloody revolt.

The resolutions were ably sustained by Mr. Thompson, who took the occasion to repel the murderous charge lately brought against him in the N. Y. Commercial, namely, that the slaves ought to be taught to cut their masters' throats. He declared that he was for peace—forgiveness—at all times and under all provocations, as a duly incumbent upon every follower of Christ.

Also, Resolved, That it is the duty of ministers of Christ, with delicacy but fully, to instruct their people as to their duty on this subject, and to warn all, especially the young, against the various arts and seductive influences of the licentious.

Resolved, That the Advocate of Moral Reform, published by the Female Moral Reform Society, in the city of New York, be recommended to the patronage of the friends of Moral Reform within our bounds.

A true copy from the records. Attest,

IRA PITTIBONE, Stated Clerk.

[From the Lowell Times.]

GEORGE THOMPSON.

If the talents and moral worth of an individual are commensurate on the one hand, with the malice and vindictiveness with which he is pursued on the other; then is George Thompson a giant in intellect, and a paragon of goodness. Ever since the arrival of this gentleman in our country, he has been persecuted with a vindictiveness and acrimony which can have its origin only in the bosoms of those who are lost to all sense of moral rectitude, and who are envious of the great and shining talents of one who is so far above them on the scale of existence. They seek to reduce him to their own base level, and for the accomplishment of their purposes, they throw around their venom with a profuseness, which tends only to show the depravity of their own hearts. The vilifiers of Mr. Thompson are not of any particular order or sect—men of every class and party, who have been engaged for years in stigmatizing each other as a set of knaves devoid of moral and political honesty, have clung together as brothers to crush him, and devil for devil raised his sonny hand.—*ministers of the everlasting gospel*, are found in close fellowship with infidels, slaveholders, blackguards and blacklegs. And in this unholy co-partnership, each appears to vie with the other, in slandering the man whose equal they are not, never were, nor ever will be, in all that adorns and dignifies human nature.

The American press has also disgraced itself by its wanton and shameful attacks on a man who comes amongst us on a mission of philanthropy and christian love. The ruffian editors of the New-York press first commenced their denunciations, and then followed others of lesser note, who strut under the cognomen of *editors*.

The question in respect to the expediency of forming a Society was called up, and after a protracted discussion, it was voted that it is expedient to form an Association for the improvement of the colored race.

The Committee of nomination reported a list of Officers, who were chosen, as follows.

William Ladd, *President*. Charles Freeman, Alford Richardson, Bailey Pierce, Jacob McGaw, *Vice Presidents*. Benj. Tappan, Augusta, Cor. Sec'y. William Cutler, Portland, *Rec'd Sec'y*. Erastus Hayes, Portland, *Treasurer*. Jos. Vail, Portland, J. W. Ellington, Bath, Benj. Tappan, Augusta, J. W. Chickering, Portland, Woodbury Storer, Dr. James Crosby, Bangor, William Cutler, Portland, *Ecc. Committee*.

CONSTITUTION.—Deeply affected with the situation of the colored race, and desirous of elevating their character and meliorating their condition, we do hereby form ourselves into an association, and adopt the following constitution:

Art. II. The object of this society shall be the improvement of the colored people in knowledge and piety, and the final extinction of slavery, as soon as it can be done with the free will and consent of the slaveholder.

The following declaration contains a summary exposition of the views of the society on the subject of slavery:

1. We disclaim any interference with any association formed professedly for the benefit of the colored race, acknowledging the justice and humanity of their intentions, and wishing them "God speed," so far as they follow the dictates of a pure and enlightened Christianity, and no farther.

2. We consider the doctrine, that man has a moral right to hold man as an article of property, inconsistent with the spirit of the gospel, and that slavery ought, in every case, to cease as soon as it can, consistently with the benefit of the slave and the safety of the master.

3. We think that all the friends of our country ought to take a deep interest in the free people of color, and endeavor to alleviate their sufferings, to elevate their character, and to prepare them for all the rights and privileges of citizens and Christians, and that the same offices of benevolence should be

BOSTON.

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1835.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

THE adjourned Quarterly meeting of the Massachusetts Anti-Slavery Society will be held at Julian Hall, corner of Congress and Milk-streets, on TUES-

DAY EVENING NEXT, at half past 6 o'clock. Subject for the occasion—*Slavery in the District of Columbia*. Addresses are expected from several individuals. The public are invited to attend.

REVIEW OF ABDY'S TRAVELS.

We have not yet had an opportunity to peruse the volumes put forth by this highly accomplished and estimable tourist; but on our first page will be found a review of his Journal from the Birmingham Reformer, in which the pride, hypocrisy and blasphemy of this nation are spoken of in terms of righteous indignation and cutting rebuke.

MASSACHUSETTS ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY.

THE adjourned meeting of the Society was held in the Anti-Slavery Hall on Monday afternoon last.</p

LITERARY.

[From Blackwood's Magazine.]
TO A LITTLE BOY.
By ROBERT CHAMBERS.

My winsome one, my handsome one, my darling little boy,
The heart's pride of thy mother, and thy father's chiefest joy;
Come ride upon my shoulder, come sit upon my knee, And prattle all the nonsense that I love to hear from thee; With thine eyes of many lustre, and thy pretty lisping tongue,
And thy heart that evermore lets out its humming happy song;
With thy thousand tricks so gleesome, which I bear without annoy,
Come to my arms, come to my soul, my darling little boy!
My winsome one, my fairest one, they say that later years Will sometimes change a parent's hope for bitter grief and tears;
But thou, so innocent! caust thou be aught but what thou art,
And all this bloom of feeling with the bloom of face depict?

Canst thou this tabernacle fair, where God reigns bright within,
Profane, like Judah's children, with the pagan rites of sin?
No—no, so much I'll cherish thee, so clasped we'll be in one,
That bugbear guilt shall only get the father with the son; And thou, perceiving that the grief must me at least destroy,
Wilt still be fair and innocent, my darling little boy!

My gentle one, my blessed one, can that time ever be When I to thee shall be severe, or thou unkind to me? Can any change which time may bring, this glowing passion week,

Or clutch with rage the little hand now fondling round my neck?
Can this community of sport, to which love brings me down,
Give way to Anger's kindling glance, and Hate's malignant frown?

No—no, that time can ne'er arrive, for, whatsoever befall, This heart shall still be wholly thine, or shall be at all; And to an offering like this thou caust not e'er be coy, But still wilt be my faithful and my gentle little boy!

My winsome one, my gallant one, so fair, so happy now, With thy bonnet set so proudly upon thy shining brow, With thy fearless bounding motions, and thy laugh of thoughtless glee,
So circled by a father's love which wards each ill from thee!

Can I suppose another time when this shall all be o'er, And thy cheek shall wear the ruddy badge of happiness no more;

When all who now delight in thee, far elsewhere shall have gone,
And thou shalt pilgrimize through life, unfriended and alone,

Without an aid to strengthen or console thy troubled mind, Save the memory of the love of those who left thee thus behind!

Oh, let me not awake the thought, but in the present blast, Make thee a child of wisdom—and to heaven beneath the rest:

Far rather let me image thee, in sunny future days, Outdoing every deed of mine and wearing brighter bays; With less to dull thy fervency of recollect'd pain, And more, to animate thy course of glory and of gain;

A home as happy shall be thine, and I too shall be there, The blessings purchased by thy worth in peace and love to share—

Shall see within thy beaming eye my early love repaid, And every ill of failing life a blyss by kindness made;

Shall see thy pure upon thy son, then sitting on thy knee, A father's gushing tenderness, such as I feel for thee;

And know, as I this moment do, no brighter, better joy;

Than thus to clasp unto thy soul thy darling little boy!

1st May, 1835.

[From the Religious Offering.]

THE MISSIONARIES.

Sweet is the harp of prophecy, which bids us hope for better times to come, When peace shall re-commence its ancient reign, And still the piercing file and martial drum.

Then shall the lion and the lamb be one; And then in peace the falcon meet the dove,

And warring spirits dwell in union.

Haste on, ye days of purity and love,

By ancient hards foretold, descending from above!

Hark! Earth already lifts a nobler song; Uncounted lips a brighter year proclaim!

The hills and mountain-tops the notes prolong, And valleys shout the Saviour's blessed name.

The sound is heard on Greenland's icy shores;

The voice resounds of' Nile's majestic tide;

Where'er a hill ascends, a river pours,

The joyful news is carried fast and wide,

Of Him who came to earth, on Calvary who died.

From our own native soil, they seek the sea,

The lov'd, the faithful missionary band,

To preach the Gospel's glorious mystery,

In comes afar, in many a heathen land.

And some to lone Pacific isles have pass'd;

And some have gone where Ganges' waters shine;

The holy pilgrimage of some is east

In ancient Syria and Palestine,

Where Christ did first appear and shed the light divine.

Long may it be our happy nation's boast,

To send abroad, not men for blood who seek;

But, as each varying year goes by, a host Who love the Gospel, and its worth shall speak.

Their cause is holy, and their aim is just;

They bear a noble and an upright breast;

In God, and not in man, they place their trust,

And trusting there, shall be at last possess'd

Of consolation here, and of the promis'd rest.

Hark! From the Indian's hut, the Arab's tent,

The Negro's home of over-arching trees,

The upward praise of humbled hearts is sent,

And haughty chiefs are bending on their knees.

Behold the blest, the penitential tear,

From dark, proud eyes, that never wept before;

And from their lips unvoiced service hear,

Words that lament, that supplicate, adore—

For this they leave their friends; for this their native shore.

With such a moveless and unshaken trust,

The patriarch Noah entered in the ark,

When storms from all the shatter'd heavens burst,

And mingling oceans smote his trembling bark.

Their hopes, their fears, their sorrows, all are given

To Him, who ruleth both the land and seas,

Who bids his children seek a home in heaven,

Who keepeth them in trial, want, disease:

One thing alone they dread, their Saviour to dispise.

That Saviour's blessed voice still strikes their ears,

To every creature go, the Gospel preach!

From every land the sighs, and groans, and tears,

And calls for help their bleeding bosoms reach.

Are not these dying men our fellow men?

Are not these weepers kindred of our race?

And is it not our solemn duty, then,

The Bible in their outstretched hands to place,

To teach a heaven above, & Saviour's matchless grace?

Go, then, ye faithful missionary band!
Go, with our warmest wishes and our prayers, Sent up from all your happy, native land, To Him, who for his faithful servants cares.
He shields you in the day of strange alarm, When courage droops its head, and faith is tried; Fear not, but rest on his almighty arm, Who bears through raging sea and stormy tide, And mansions shall at last for all his friends provide.

Yes, there's a rest for those who labor here, A home for such as have no home below, A clime where mourners shed no more the tear, Where joy succeeds to doubt, and fear to woe; And when in some lone land your life is past, And dust and ashes wrap your mouldering breast, That peaceful home shall welcome you at last, And shed the sunshine of its holy rest.

MISCELLANEOUS.

THE MAIL.

[Baltimore correspondence of the Boston Atlas.]

The President has returned to the City of Washington. It is now more than two weeks since the Post Master of this city announced his determination to select what newspapers he would condescend to transport by mail, and what he would reject. My opinion on the subject of incendiary publications, to which reference has been made, has been freely expressed. As a private citizen, no Northern man would adopt more energetic measures against these fanatics, than I would adopt. But the subject is presented in a very different aspect, when a public functionary assumes the right, and acts upon that assumption, of deciding whether any, and if any, what parts of the law shall be complied with.

It is now more than two weeks since the Post Master of this city notified the Post Master General that he would suppress, in violation of law, a portion of the mail, and so far as I can learn, up to the present hour, he has received no instructions to the contrary. What does this mean? Does Mr. Kendall shrink from the letter he wrote to the Post Master at Richmond? Is he no longer willing to take the responsibility? Has he deemed it necessary to submit the decision to the President? And is General Jackson prepared to *nullify* the laws regulating the Post Office Department? And pray what is the difference between the *nullification* of a Post Office law and the *nullification* of a *tariff* law? Is it not about as much as the difference between *tweedle-dum* and *tweedle-dee*? Will not the South Carolina nullifiers be mortified at being so outstripped in *nullification* by the President and his army of Post Masters? Can it be possible that General Jackson will justify the measure? Our Southern friends do not ask thus much; and a majority of them will never defend a calm and deliberate violation of law, by a public officer, however willing and desirous they may be, as private citizens, of inflicting summary punishment upon the incendiary that would destroy their repose, endanger their lives, and sever the Union.

MORE ALARMS.—The Richmond Compiler contains the substance of a letter, post marked at Buckingham, C. H. Sept. 8, giving the following facts: About 9 o'clock, on the morning of the 4th, as some of the scholars of a country school were out, attending to their studies, they saw a number of negroes, seventeen in all, with their picks and pickaxes lifted in the air, and with the gang giving the word of command, "March together!" &c. &c. The negroes being in a narrow lane, within 70 or 80 yards of the school-house, the fears of the children magnified their numbers to a great extent, and the alarm was immediately given that the gold mine hands had risen, and were approaching the school-house. The teacher fled, like an affrighted deer, leaving the children to take care of themselves. The alarmed pupils, girls and boys, were conducted by one of the largest boys to a swamp, where they remained in a state of great alarm until near sunset, when they were relieved by the troops which had been assembled by the vigilant youth upon whom the teacher devolved their protection. The troops then pushed on in pursuit of the negroes, and but for the interference of two young men whom they met, and from whom they learnt it was all a mistake, and nothing but the gold mine hands going to work on the road, the poor negroes would have been slain in a short time!

This, says the editor, is another evidence of the mischief done by the incendiaries!! Neighborhoods hitherto quiet, are in a constant state of agitation, and every squad of negroes, whether at amusement or labor, are watched with suspicion. He thinks that the "cautious teacher ought to receive nine-and-thirty, at least, from his own cat-o-nine tails, for his premature fright."

The Philadelphia Commercial Herald says—Meetings have been held and are called in various towns and cities at the south, on the subject of slavery, &c. and the most impudent language and violent threats have been uttered against the northern fanatics and abolitionists.—The southerners even go so far as to call upon us to put a stop to the publications of abolitionists! Either they are grossly ignorant of the laws of the country, or they must suppose that we are to be intimidated by them to tear away one of the most important bases of a free government—namely, the freedom of speech and of the press.

"The Press, we greatly fear, the orderly, and in the main, well regulated press, has something to answer for, in regard to this evil. We verily believe that our cause for the extent to which it has now arrived, may be traced to that indifference, if not tacit approbation, with which it has been too much in the habit of recording the summary inflictions of punishment, by other sentences than that of the law, on culprits of every hue, and for every possible grade of offence. These outrages against established order are chronicled and spoken of, under the cant term of 'Lynch Law.' It is a term that ought not to find a place in the columns of a newspaper, nor in the conversation of men, any more than the illegal doings which it involves, should find perpetrators or abettors in civilized society and in a country of law. Let the press and the people repudiate this term, and properly rebuke ALL violations of law, and a great step will thus be made towards a restoration of its supremacy. Here is a space for general reform. Let us hope it may be properly improved."

The New Orleans Bee condemns the resolve of the citizens of Charleston in violating the integrity of the Post Office, by requiring that all papers and documents sent through the mail should be submitted to the ordeal of a private inspection. The Bee says—"This is a glaring infraction of privilege and right—and one of the most sacred kind, the rights of private intercommunication. By this violation if carried to any extent, the whole prospects of a merchant or other citizen might be ruined—if he should receive letters or documents of advice important in his transactions or vocation. Such letters are to him property of an invaluable nature—perhaps more so than his property in slaves would be. We therefore fall from the frying pan into the fire, by sanctioning such nefarious surveillance—a cordon that we trust and think will never be sanctioned by the citizens of Louisiana."

The Charleston S. C. Patriot, and Gov. Tyler of Virginia, are not satisfied with the Northern Meetings against the Abolition movements. The Patriot is quite angry at the Boston Meeting, for admitting that Slavery is "an evil at all." And both the Patriot and Gov. T. wish us, at the North, to put down the Anti-Slavery discussion by the strong arm of the law!—We are not disposed now, to comment on these matters at great length, but they demand serious consideration. Mr. Jefferson saw great prospective evils growing out of the slavery question—we see the whole country, now from one end to the other, excited by it, in a very high degree,—and we witness even the means adopted to conciliate, turned into occasion for reproach.

If the people of the South do live in fear from the continued operation of Abolition Societies, we beseech them to remember, that in the nature of things the abduction of such a man as

Arthur Tappan, would multiply their number fifty-fold, and give an energy to their principles and a popularity to their measures, which not all the combined interests of the South could for a moment, withstand. A crime like that which they are contemplating, would put every man at the North in the attitude of self-defence, for individual safety and freedom. If they have any regard to self-interest, to say nothing of justice, morality, and law, they will stay the progress of this murderous conspiracy.—*Salem Landmark.*

A Conspiracy to abduct an Abolitionist.—On Friday morning last, two southern gentlemen were standing together on the steps of one of the hotels in Broadway earnestly engaged in conversation. One was dressed in a glossy new black coat and an old hat, the other wore a blue coat with gilt buttons and a white hat. "Do you think it can be done?" said old hat to white hat. "I know it can," replied the other. "What reason have you to know?" asked old hat. "Why," replied white hat, "I went over to Brooklyn yesterday and examined the house and premises. It can be easily done." "Well, if it can be done—an example ought to be made by the South." "We could get him out to sea," continued the other, "in one of the nautical boats. I think the Courier and Enquirer's boat, or perhaps the Journal of Commerce. Once past Cape Hatteras, he should have his belly full of abolition." Here the conversation dropped.

Does Arthur Tappan, or any of the Abolition Society live at Brooklyn? They had better look out. We have been told in good authority, that a private purse of \$20,000 has been made up in the South to abduct Arthur and carry him to the north, 'in one of the nautical boats.'

We hope the Recorder for Aug. 29 may be sent forthwith to Mr. F. B. Buxton, of London, to tell him what a pitch things are arrived here—that he and have an inalienable right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness—in the very capital, within sight of the President's house, and under the walls of Congress, who possess the exclusive right of legislation there—even if he admires the shrine of freedom, his citizens, brothers, relatives and other friends less favored than themselves under the heading laws of this most equitable of republics. What will Mr. Buxton think? Will he draw up another protest, and denounce the Anti-Slavery Society, as he and the sainted Wilberforce did a rival institution? Perhaps information so important will be forthcoming communicated by him to the Parliament, and furnish him a new argument for the speedy destruction of the absurd and oppressive apprenticeship system.

It could also be sent without delay to the Due de Broglie, prime minister of France, and president of the French Abolition Society, that he may see what unwarrentable and high-handed measures are pursued in America to put down slavery. Thus he may be warned against the fatal rock of acknowledging the rights of the free while seeking to redress the wrongs of the slave; and the greater absurdity of allowing the objects of his sympathy to gather from any source an intimation that they have friends.—*N. Y. Evangelist.*

Slavery.—These southern gentlemen ask a great deal too much if they ask for laws which shall punish free discussion on this or any other subject. We may dissuade our fellow citizens from a useless and mischievous agitation of the subject, and this we believe is our duty; but very few, we apprehend, would be willing to pass any law to punish men for speaking what they think, or publishing their opinions. Tyranny in this form would be as odious as in any other.

We can pardon much to the feeling of high excitement under which southern men speak at the present time; but if they demand what is wholly unreasonable, they will be likely to be met with an indifference which will withhold what they have a right to expect.—*Augusta (Me.) Journal.*

Gag Law.—Meetings are being held in many of the principal towns in New-England for the purpose of putting a stop to the discussions of the Abolitionists on the subject of slavery. Even in the city of Portland, at a large meeting of the first citizens, a determination was in effect announced that no Abolitionist should again enter their houses of worship for the purpose of giving Lectures. It needs no prophet to determine with whom rests the right spirit in such cases. Can those who would put a gag in our mouths be far from the spirit of those in Mississippi, who have denied their fellow men the right of trial by jury?

Family Pioneer.—

Going the Whole.—The editor of the New-York Evening Post censures the letter of the Postmaster General, on the subject of abolition pamphlets—and took occasion, from the silence of the Globe to express a belief that the Executive did not approve of the new ground assumed by Mr. Kendall. Whereupon the Globe hastens to remove any such erroneous impressions, by offering the following remarkable sentiments:—